EQUALIZER ADAPTER FOR A PULSE AMPLITUDE MODULATION RECEIVER

RELATED APPLICATION

This application contains subject matter
related to co-pending, commonly assigned U.S. application
Serial No._____, filed concurrently herewith
entitled PHASE DETECTOR FOR BAUD RATE-SAMPLED MULTI-STATE
SIGNAL RECEIVER (Atty. Doc. No. 3Com-74(3278.STG.US.P)).

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Technical Field

The invention is related to signal processing
of received signals of the type having a set of allowable
states or amplitudes, such as pulse amplitude modulated
signals, such as signal processing employing
equalization. In a particular application, the invention
concerns the adaptive control of an equalizer employed in
the processing of multi-state signals.

2. Background Art:

Multi-state signals are employed in high speed
(e.g., gigabit-per-second) network communications, such
as local area networks of computers. While the present
invention may find application in processing various
types of multi-state signals, such as pulse amplitude

modulated signals, phase modulated signals and so forth, the detailed description presented below concerns application of the invention to processing of pulse amplitude modulated signals.

Many high speed computer networks transmit ultra-high frequency signals (gigabit-per-second data) over a coaxial conductor cable. The cable introduces signal distortion, arising from certain characteristics of the cable such as its reactance. Signal distortion also arises in channels that do not employ an electrically conductive cable. Signal processing is employed to correct for such distortion. For example, the signal processing distortion correction may be performed by an equalizer of the type which introduces a certain reactance that compensates for the reactance of the cable. A conventional equalizer suitable for digital signal processing introduces a transfer function whose representation in the complex plane has appropriate poles and zeroes corresponding to the desired reactance, as is well known to the skilled worker. Various reactances may be stored in the equalizer, and one of them is selected at any one time. The problem is that the cable reactance is not known a priori, and therefore the equalizer must have a large number of settings (e.g., reactances) one of which is chosen only after actual testing in the field of the cable. Since the cable characteristics may not be constant and/or the cable may be changed by the user, the choice of equalizer setting must be made periodically during actual use of the network. This is accomplished

by adaptive techniques in which the signal distortion is periodically or constantly monitored and the equalizer setting is periodically or constantly adjusted in a manner calculated to minimize the distortion.

Numerous conventional techniques have been employed to carry out such adaptive equalization. Such techniques include recursive algorithms such as a Recursive Least Squares adaptive algorithm and a Least Mean Square adaptive algorithm. A significant problem with such techniques is that these adaptive algorithms are mathematically intensive, involving large numbers of multiply and accumulate steps. Implementing a very large number of multiply operations in a circuit is very expensive and complex, making it difficult to provide such a product on a cost-competitive basis. Therefore, there is a need to provide adaptive equalization without requiring such a mathematically intensive algorithm or without requiring multiply and accumulate operations.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention is embodied in a receiver that receives a modulated signal having multiple levels. The receiver has an equalizer with plural equalization settings for compensating for distortion in the received signal. The receiver further includes an adapter for selecting one of the plural equalization settings that provides an optimum compensation for the distortion. The adapter employs a trial and error procedure for

evaluating the equalizer performance for each one of the
equalizer settings by first observing the multiple levels
of the incoming signal and defining therefrom valid
regions encompassing each of the multiple levels and

5   invalid regions not encompassing the multiple levels.
Next, the adapter computes a first metric consisting of a
count of samples within each of the invalid regions. It
also computes a second metric consisting of the
differences that are less than a predetermined threshold

10   between pairs of samples falling within the same valid
region. Finally, the adapter combines the first and
second metrics to produced a combined metric for said one
equalizer setting. The adapter then compares all of the
combined metrics to determined the best metric and

15   chooses the equalizer setting corresponding to the best
combined metric.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

20   FIG. 1 is a histogram of received 3-level
pulse amplitude modulated signal samples transmitted over
such a short cable that no distortion is visible.

           FIG. 2 is a histogram of received 3-level pulse
25   amplitude modulated signal samples transmitted over a
very long cable so that significant distortion manifest
as deviations from the three valid signal levels is
apparent.

FIG. 3 is a block flow diagram illustrating the operation of a cable feedforward adapter in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating a receiver system embodying the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention involves a recognition that in a multi-state signal, distortion causes a significant number of samples of the signal to be detected in unallowed states. For example, in a three-level pulse amplitude modulated signal, a severely distorted signal would appear to the receiver to have a large number of samples of the signal at amplitudes between the allowed levels. Thus, if the three levels are 5 volts, -5 volts and 0 volts (with a tolerance of +0.2 volts), then a severely distorted signal would have a preponderance of samples in the invalid region between +4.8 and +0.2 volts and in the invalid region between -4.8 and -0.2 volts. For example, a sample at 2.5 volts would be in the middle of an invalid region. The correct amplitude of such a sample is one of the two valid levels on either side of the sample, but it is impossible to determine which one. Thus, samples lying between the two valid levels are anomalous, and the information they represent is lost. The closer a sample is to the middle of an invalid region, the more difficult it is to resolve this anomaly and in many cases it is impossible, leading to failure of

the communication system. If the appropriate equalizer setting is found that corrects the distortion, then no received samples lie within either invalid region.

5 In the invention, this fact is exploited to construct a simple way of evaluating each equalizer setting to find the optimum equalizer setting that best removes distortion. During a training period, a received signal is sampled and then each sample is
10 equalized by an equalizer. The equalizer settings are changed in a trial and error procedure to discover the optimum equalizer setting by evaluating the corrected signals as they are produced. The efficacy of each equalizer setting is evaluated from the resulting
15 corrected signal in accordance with the present invention. Specifically, the efficacy of an equalizer setting is evaluated in accordance with the population of samples produced by that equalizer setting lying within the three allowed regions relative to the population of
20 such samples lying outside the allowed regions.

More particularly, the invention involves first determining for a given equalizer setting the number of samples produced by that equalizer setting lying within
25 each one of the allowed regions (for example, within the region between +4.8 and +5.2 volts) and the number of such samples lying within each one of the unallowed region between +4.8 volts and +0.2 volts. The size (tolerance) of the allowed region may be enlarged to
30 provide more rapid convergence. For example, the

tolerance may be 10% of the maximum amplitude absolute value, in which case the allowed regions are 4.5 to 5.5 volts, -0.5 to 0.5 volts and -4.5 to -0.5 volts. In this case, the unallowed regions include the region

5   between 0.5 and 4.5 volts and the region between -0.5 and -4.5 volts. The metric here is either the number of samples falling within the unallowed regions or, alternatively, the percentage of all samples falling within the unallowed regions. Such a metric is referred

10   to herein as a "white box" metric. The smaller this metric, the less ambiguity between allowed levels and therefore the better the equalization.

       The next determination is a "tightness" metric.
       This metric involves determining, for each one of the

15   three valid regions, differences between successive samples lying within the one valid region. This computation is made for each sample lying within the one valid region by computing the difference between the sample and the chronologically last sample that fell

20   within the same valid region. Samples falling within another one of the three valid regions are ignored. First order differences are computed separately for each one of the three valid regions. The smaller the first

25   order differences, the less deviation and therefore the less distortion there is in the processed signal. Thus, the tightness metric is a measure of the smallness of the first order differences. A preferred way of computing the tightness metric is to count the number of first

30   order differences that are less than a small percentage,

e.g., 5%, of the peak amplitude deviation. In the
foregoing example, the number of first order differences
less than 0.25 volts is counted. The more first order
differences that are 0.25 volts or less, the tighter the
distribution of samples within an allowed region and
therefore the better the equalization.

In summary, the equalizer setting having the
smallest white box metric and the largest tightness
metric is the optimum equalizer setting. All equalizer
settings are therefore evaluated by determining their
white box metric and their tightness metric. Then, in
one implementation, the tightness metric is subtracted
from the white box metric, and the equalizer having the
least metric (least positive or most negative) is deemed
to be the best.

A significant advantage of the invention is
that very little arithmetic power is required, apart from
a few add operations. In comparison, a least means
square algorithm or similar recursive algorithm capable
of finding an optimum equalizer setting requires a large
number of multiply operations and is therefore far more
expensive to implement. The present invention only
requires an adder capability, and therefore is far less
expensive to implement.

FIG. 1 illustrates a histogram of received
samples of a three-level pulse amplitude modulated signal
with no distortion. The three signal levels are given as

percentages of peak amplitude, specifically 100, 0 and -100. In FIG. 1, each signal sample falls exactly on one of the three allowed signal levels.

FIG. 2 illustrates a histogram of received signal of the same signal in the presence of distortion attributable to the reactance of a long (150 meter) coaxial cable over which the signal was received. FIG. 2 shows that the samples tend to cluster around the three allowed levels, but some of the samples deviate as much as 25% from the nearest allowed level. A 50% deviation is completely anomalous, since at that deviation the sample is equidistant from two allowed levels and therefore it is not known which level is the true level that was transmitted. To avoid such a failure, equalization is necessary to reduce the deviation of the sample population and gain a tighter distribution closer to the ideal case of FIG. 1.

Referring to FIG. 2, it is seen that a fairly large fraction of the samples deviate more than 10% from the nearest allowed level of 100, 0 or -100. Thus, one practical choice for the white box metric is to define one of the invalid regions as lying between 10 and 90 and the other as lying between -10 and -90. In this case, a practical choice for the tightness metric is to define all first order differences that are 5 or less as satisfying the tightness criteria. FIG. 3 illustrates how these choices would be carried out in implementing an equalizer adapter process of the invention.

Referring to FIG. 3, a stream of 3-level pulse
amplitude modulated signal samples is received and their
peak positive and peak negative amplitudes are detected
to determine the actual amplitudes of the three levels
(block 310 of FIG. 3). An equalizer having a number of
settings is set to the next equalizer setting in a
predetermined sequence of settings (block 320). The
process then proceeds along two parallel branches 330,
335. In branch 330, the white box metric is computed by
counting the number of samples in each of the two invalid
regions, namely the region lying between 10 and 90 and
the region lying between -10 and -90, respectively, of
the graph of FIG. 2 (block 340). In branch 335, the
tightness metric is computed by first identifying the
samples lying within each valid region (block 350). The
valid regions include the region above +90, the region
below -90 and the region between +10 and -10. These
regions encompass deviations of 10% from the allowed or
valid amplitudes of 100, -100 and 0. Of course, wider
regions (e.g., encompassing 15% deviations) or narrower
regions (e.g., encompassing 5% deviations) may be chosen.
The next step is to compute the amplitude difference
between each pair of chronologically successive samples
lying within the same valid region (block 360). For this
purpose, a pair of samples is considered to be successive
even though an intervening sample occurred but fell
outside of the region. Such a sample is ignored. Once
the differences between each pair of successive samples
have been computed for one region, the same computation

is performed for another valid region, until all valid
regions have been accounted for. Next, for all valid
regions, the number of differences not exceeding a
threshold amount (such as 5% of the peak amplitude) is
counted, the total count being the measure of tightness
of the present equalizer setting (block 370). The total
metric for the present equalizer setting is then computed
(block 380) by combining the whitebox metric of block 340
with the tightness metric of block 370. Preferably, this
is done by subtracting the tightness metric from the
whitebox metric. Then, if not all equalizer settings
have been evaluated (branch 382 of block 380), the next
equalizer setting is selected (block 320) and the
foregoing process is repeated for the next equalizer
setting. Once all equalizer settings have been evaluated
(branch 384 of block 380), then the metrics for all of
the equalizer settings are compared and the equalizer
setting having the best metric is selected (block 390).

The "best" metric is the least positive (or
most negative) metric in the preferred embodiment where
the metric is defined as the whitebox metric minus the
tightness metric. Other definitions could be employed,
however. For example, the metric could be the ratio of
the whitebox metric to the tightness metric, in which
case the smallest metric would be the best.

FIG. 4 illustrates a receiver system embodying
the present invention. The receiver system forms a part
of a 3-level pulse amplitude modulation

gigabit-per-second computer network. In such a system, the same cable (the cable 400 of FIG. 4) carries the transmitted and received signals simultaneously. Therefore, in order to isolate the received signal, an analog subtractor 402 subtracts the analog transmitted signal (the input labeled "analog tx") from the signal on the cable, producing the received signal ("rx") at the output of the subtractor 402. An analog-to-digital converter 404 samples the analog received signal rx in synchronism with a recovered clock signal produced by a clock recovery circuit 406. The analog-to-digital converter 404 converts each analog sample to a digital word (e.g., an eight-bit digital word) in accordance with an analog reference level from a conventional reference generator 408. The digital output of the analog-to-digital converter 404 is processed by a feed-forward equalizer 410 having a transfer function specified in accordance with industry standards to remove a predetermined bias imposed on the signal by the node that transmitted the signal.

In order to compensate for distortions imposed on the received signal during its transit over the cable 400, such as those attributable to reactance of the cable discussed above in this specification, a cable feedforward equalizer 412 imposes a selected transfer function on the signal output by the equalizer 410. The equalizer 412 is of the conventional type whose transfer function may be represented in the complex plane with plural poles and zeroes corresponding to a desired

reactance. Preferably, the equalizer stores a number of such transfer functions, one of which may be selected at any one time. A cable feed forward equalizer adapter 414 carries out the function illustrated in FIG. 3 for choosing the best one of the transfer functions or settings of the cable feedforward equalizer 412.

The equalized digital signal produced by the cable feedforward equalizer 412 is combined in an adder 416 with a crosstalk correction signal produced by a crosstalk correction circuit 418. The crosstalk correction circuit 418 produces the crosstalk correction signal so as to compensate or cancel crosstalk from the transmitted signal when combined with the equalized digital signal in the adder 416. The crosstalk correction circuit has two inputs, namely the corrected signal from the output of the adder 416 and the transmitted signal tx, as indicated in FIG. 4. The crosstalk correction circuit 418 consists of a near end crosstalk ("NEXT")/ echo canceller 420 and a NEXT/echo adapter 422 that controls the canceller 420. The crosstalk correction circuit 418 including the canceller 420 and the adapter 422 are described in U.S. patent application Serial No. 09/636,047 entitled "ADAPTER FOR NEAR-END CROSSTALK AND ECHO CANCELLER FOR BI-DIRECTIONAL DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS" filed August 10, 2000, by Duy Pham et al (Atty. Doc. No. 3Com-54 (2739.STG.US.P))and U.S. patent application Serial No. 09/636,042 filed August 10, 2000, by Duy Pham et al (Atty. Doc. No. 3Com-53(2738.STG.US.P)), both

applications being assigned to the assignee of the present application, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference.

The output of the adder 416 is fed back as an input to the crosstalk correction circuit 418, as referred to above, and to the cable feedforward adapter 414 at feedback input 414a. Referring again to FIG. 3, the receipt of the succession of samples of step 310 refers to the successive digitized samples furnished to the input 414a of the adapter 414. The adapter 414 performs the function illustrated in FIG. 3 so as to maximize the number of digitized samples received at the input 414a falling within the three allowed levels discussed above.

The digital signal output by the adder 416 is also applied as a feedback signal to the clock recovery circuit 406, and specifically to a phase detector 432. The phase detector 432 is described in co-pending commonly assigned U.S. application Serial No. _____ filed herewith by Duy Pham et al entitled "PHASE DETECTOR FOR BAUD RATE-SAMPLED MULTI-STATE SIGNAL RECEIVER" (Atty. Doc. No. 3Com-74(3278.STG.US.P)), the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. The output of the phase detector 432 is applied to the input of a conventional loop filter 434 whose output controls a voltage controlled oscillator 436. The voltage controlled oscillator 436 generates the recovered clock signal applied to the analog-to-digital converter 404.

The phase of the voltage controlled oscillator 436 is incremented or decremented depending upon the polarity of the phase error detected by the phase detector 432.

A conventional slicer 450 makes a decision for each digital sample as to which one of the allowed levels the sample represents (i.e., is closest to). It does this in accordance with a conventional threshold generator 452. It should be noted that during the training period of the equalizer adapter 414, 3-level pulse amplitude modulation is employed, but the actual data may be transmitted using a different number of levels, such as 5-level pulse amplitude modulation.

A peak detector 454 determines the prevailing or current peak amplitude (positive and negative) of the digital samples output by the adder 416. The positive and negative peak amplitudes define the upper and lower valid levels of the 3-level signal used during training of the adapter 414. In the example described above, the positive peak was 100, the negative peak was -100, defining the upper and lower valid levels, while the middle level between them was 0. The adapter 414 deduces the three valid levels of the 3-level pulse amplitude modulation signal by assigning the positive peak value sensed by the peak detector 454 to the upper valid level, the negative peak value sensed by the peak detector 454 to the lower valid level and the amplitude midway between the two peaks as the middle valid level. Conventional

circuitry is employed to carry out this task, which is part of the step of block 310 of FIG. 3.

The output of the peak detector 454 is also utilized in conventional well-known fashion by the conventional analog-to-digital reference generator 408. The reference generator 408 deduces from the peak magnitudes sensed by the detector 454 the current analog range of the incoming signal, and in conventional manner cause the maximum digital range of the analog-to-digital converter 404 to match the sensed analog range of the incoming signal.

The output of the peak detector 454 is also applied to a phase detector reference circuit 430 of the clock recovery circuit 406. The phase detector reference circuit 430 uses the peak magnitudes sensed by the peak detector 454 to deduce the allowable levels of the digitized signal at the output of the adder 416. The allowable levels thus deduced are then provided to the phase detector 432. The phase detector 432 compares each digital sample received from the adder 416 to the allowable levels provided by the phase detector 430 in order to deduce the current phase error. It does this in the manner described in co-pending commonly assigned U.S. patent application Serial No. _____ filed herewith by Duy Pham et al entitled "PHASE DETECTOR FOR BAUD RATE-SAMPLED MULTI-STATE SIGNAL RECEIVER" (Atty. Doc. No. 3Com-74(3278.STG.US.P)), the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

Having now described the entire system, the
operation of the adapter 414 illustrated in FIG. 3 will
now be reviewed with more particular reference to FIG. 4.
Initially, and then at periodic intervals thereafter, the
adapter 414 determines the optimum equalizer setting of
the equalizer 412 during a brief training period. During
the training period, it is preferred that the transmitter
send a three-level pulse amplitude modulated signal to
the receiver in which the three levels consist of
positive and negative amplitudes of the same absolute
value (e.g., +100) and an intermediate value halfway
between these two (e.g., 0). In such a case, the peak
detector 454 senses a negative peak value of -100 and a
positive peak value of +100, this information being
furnished by the peak detector to the adapter 414. As a
result, the adapter 414 defines the three valid levels of
the received signal as the two peaks (i.e., +100) and the
value halfway between them (i.e., 0), in the step of
block 310 of FIG. 3. The adapter defines the three
regions of valid signal (sample) values corresponding
to 10% deviations from each of the valid values, i.e., a
top region from 90 and higher, an intermediate region
from -10 to +10 and a bottom region from -90 and below.
The adapter then selects the first one of the set of
equalizer settings of the equalizer 412 (block 320 of
FIG. 3), and then simultaneously calculates the whitebox
metric in branch 330 of FIG. 3 and the tightness metric
of branch 335 of FIG. 3, combines the two metrics to
compute the overall metric (block 380) and then selects

the next equalizer setting. As described above, these
calculations are based upon the population of samples
falling within or outside of the valid regions. The
samples used in the parallel branches 330 and 335 are the
digital words emanating from the output of the adder 416
(not the output of the peak detector 454). The foregoing
calculations and equalizer setting changes are repeated
again until a metric has been computed for each of the
equalizer settings. Then, the equalizer setting having
the best metric is selected, and the equalizer 412 is
placed in the selected setting. This concludes the
training period. Thereafter, actual user data is
transmitted to the receiver. The user data may be
contained in a 5-level pulse amplitude modulated signal
rather than a 3-level signal. The slicer 452 therefore
is designed to assign each processed sample value to the
closest one of the five allowed signal levels.

The invention is applicable to adapters for
various types of multi-level or multi-state signals in
which the best one of a plurality of settings of a signal
processor, such as an equalizer, is selected by the
adapter by evaluating the goodness of each equalizer
setting. While the preferred embodiment is useful with
pulse amplitude modulated signals, other embodiments may
be useful with other types of multi-state modulated
signals such as phase modulated signals.

While the invention has been described in
detail by specific reference to preferred embodiments, it

is understood that variations and modifications thereof
may be made without departing from the true spirit and
scope of the invention.